

**Before the  
MAHARASHTRA ELECTRICITY REGULATORY COMMISSION  
World Trade Centre, Centre No.1, 13th Floor, Cuffe Parade, Mumbai 400005  
Tel. 022 22163964/65/69 Fax 22163976  
Email: mercindia@merc.gov.in  
Website: www.mercindia.org.in / www. merc.gov.in**

**CASE No. 106 of 2016**

**Dated: 19 December, 2017**

**CORAM: Shri Azeez M. Khan, Member  
Shri Deepak Lad, Member**

**In the matter of  
Petition of Development Commissioner cum Chairperson, SEEPZ Special Economic  
Zone Authority for Specific Conditions of Distribution Licence for SEEPZ SEZ,  
Mumbai to undertake distribution of power within the SEZ area through network of  
existing Licensee till its own distribution network is developed**

Development Commissioner : Petitioner  
SEEPZ SEZ, Mumbai

V/s

Reliance Infrastructure Ltd. (RInfra) : Respondent No.1  
The Tata Power Company Ltd. (TPC) : Respondent No. 2

Representative of the Petitioner : Shri Ashish Singh (Adv.)

Representative of the Respondent No.1 : Shri Ghanshyam Thakkar (Rep.)  
Representative of the Respondent No.2 : Shri Abhishek Munot (Adv.)

**Daily Order**

Heard the Advocate/Representatives of the Petitioner and Respondents.

Advocate of the Petitioner stated that the Petitioner has initiated a tendering process for selecting an agency for preparation of Network Roll-out Plan. The bids received are under evaluation and it shall finalize a competitive agency within a month. Thereafter, the detailed Network Roll-out Plan is expected to be developed in next three months. The Commission is

therefore requested to further extend submission date for Network Roll-out Plan by another three months.

The Commission observed that the Petitioner needs to review its Petition considering the issues regarding parallel Licensing scenario in the proposed area of supply of the Petitioner and considering the directions in the ATE Judgment dated 28 November, 2014 in Appeal No. 246 of 2012 and the Commission's Order dated 12 June, 2017 in Case No. 182 of 2014 and come up with a concrete proposal. As this would involve a substantial change in the Petition, the Petitioner may withdraw the present Petition and file a fresh after addressing all the relevant issues, if it thinks that it would be beneficial to operationalize its Deemed Distribution Licensee status.

In response, the Petitioner sought to withdraw its Petition with liberty to approach the Commission afresh.

**The Case is reserved for Order.**

**Sd/-  
(Deepak Lad)  
Member**

**Sd/-  
(Azeez M. Khan)  
Member**